

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,686	09/09/2003	Andreas Loew	PD020092	7536	
24498 Joseph J. Laks	7590 02/05/2008	EXAMINER			
THOMSON LICENSING LLC			LAM, HUNG H		
2 Independence PO BOX 5312	dependence Way, Patent Operations BOX 5312 ART UNIT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON,	NJ 08543	2622			
			MAN DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVER I MODE	
			02/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	·	10/659,686	LOEW ET AL.		
-	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Hung H. Lam	2622		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. lly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 So</u>	eptember 2003.			
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicati	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 September 2003</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioricy application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Apprity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)				
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur			
3) 🔯 Inforr	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date bromal Patent Application -		

Application/Control Number:

10/659,686 Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shiomi (US-6,791,615).

Regarding **claim 1**, Shiomi discloses a method for the correction of video signals which are transmitted pixel by pixel as digital pixel values alternately via at least two channels having different transfer characteristics (abstract; Fig. 3; CH1 and CH2), characterized in that, from the pixel values transmitted via a first channel, estimated values for the pixels of a second channel are formed by means of interpolation (Figs. 3 and 4; wherein average value calculation 30-32 blocks of the unbalance amount calculation circuit 18 are interpreted as a means of interpolation; Col. 7, Ln. 1-45), and in that correction values for the pixel values of the second channel are derived from differences between the estimated values and the pixel values of the second channel

10/659,686

Art Unit: 2622

(Fig. 3; see subtraction circuit 36 and 37; Offset Adjustment circuit 12 and 13; Col. 5,

Ln. 52-Col. 6, Ln 30; Col. 7, Ln. 40-68).

Regarding claim 2, Shiomi discloses the method according to claim 1, characterized in that the correction values are only formed from those differences which are less than a predetermined value (K1) (Fig. 4; in order for the subtraction circuit 36 and 37 to produce a non-zero value, V1+2 is inherently less than V1 or V2;

V1 or V2 is inherently be less than V1+2).

Regarding **claim 3**, Shiomi discloses the method according to claim 1, characterized in that, further estimated values are formed from pixel values which are transmitted by interpolation by means of the second channel (see Fig. 4; block 30), in that further differences are formed from the further estimated values and the pixel values of the first channel (see Fig. 4; block 32), in that an average value is in each case formed from the differences and the further differences, and in that the correction values are derived from the average values (Col. 7, Ln. 1-45).

Regarding claim 4, Shiomi discloses the method according to claim 2, characterized in that, further estimated values are formed from pixel values which are transmitted by interpolation by means of the second channel (see Fig. 4; block 30), in that further differences are formed from the further estimated values and the pixel values of the first channel (see Fig. 4; block 32), in that an average value is in each

10/659,686

Art Unit: 2622

case formed from the differences and the further differences, and in that the correction values are derived from the average values (Col. 7, Ln. 1-45).

Regarding **claim 5**, Shiomi discloses the method according to claim 3, characterized in that the differences and the further differences are in each case subtracted from one another (Fig. 3; see subtraction circuit 36 and 37; Offset Adjustment circuit 12 and 13), and in that the respective average value of the differences is only used for correction if the value produced by subtraction of the difference and the further difference is less than a further predetermined value (K2) (Fig. 4; in order for the subtraction circuit 36 and 37 to produce a non-zero value, V1+2 is inherently less than V1 or V2; V1 or V2 is inherently be less than V1+2).

Regarding **claim 6**, Shiomi discloses the Method according to claim 4, characterized in that the differences and the further differences are in each case subtracted from one another, and in that the respective average value of the differences is only used for correction if the value produced by subtraction of the difference and the further difference is less than a further predetermined value (K2) (Fig. 4; in order for the subtraction circuit 36 and 37 to produce a non-zero value, V1+2 is inherently less than V1 or V2; V1 or V2 is inherently be less than V1+2).

Regarding claim 7, Shiomi discloses the method according to claim 1, characterized in that the differences and the further differences, for the purpose of

Application/Control Number:

10/659,686 Art Unit: 2622

forming the correction values, are averaged separately according to the magnitude of the pixel values (see Fig. 4; average calculation circuit 30 and 31, 32), in that the correction values are written to a memory (it is inherent that average values are stored in some kind of memory buffer), and in that the correction values are read from the memory depending on the respective magnitude of the pixel values and are added to the pixel values of the first and/or the second channel (Fig. 4; see Offset Adjustment Circuit; pixel values from memory controller 8 and 10 are inherently added to negative OF2 and OF1 for offset adjustment).

Regarding **claim 8**, Shiomi discloses the method according to claim 3, characterized in that the averaging is in each case effected separately according to magnitude ranges of the pixel values (Fig. 4; in order for the subtraction circuit 36 and 37 to produce a non-zero value, V1+2 is inherently less than V1 or V2; V1 or V2 is inherently be less than V1+2), and in that correction values are obtained for the individual pixel values by interpolation and low-pass filtering of the mean values within the various magnitude ranges (Fig. 6; Col. 9, Ln. 9-Col. 10, Ln. 27: Shiomi further teaches values of low pass filter 58-59 and offset setting circuit are inputted to Offset Addition circuit 62-63; it is inherent that values that pass through low pass filter 58-59 are within a predetermined frequency range).

Regarding claim 9, Shiomi discloses the method according to claim 7, characterized in that the averaging is in each case effected separately according to

magnitude ranges of the pixel values (Fig. 4; in order for the subtraction circuit 36 and

37 to produce a non-zero value, V1+2 is inherently less than V1 or V2; V1 or V2 is

inherently be less than V1+2), and in that correction values are obtained for the

individual pixel values by interpolation and low-pass filtering of the mean values within

the various magnitude ranges (Fig. 6; Col. 9, Ln. 9-Col. 10, Ln. 27: Shiomi further

teaches values of low pass filter 58-59 and offset setting circuit are inputted to Offset

Addition circuit 62-63; it is inherent that values that pass through low pass filter 58-59

are within a predetermined frequency range).

Regarding claim 10, Shiomi discloses the method according to claim 1,

characterized in that the only pixel values which are evaluated are those which change

at a rate (f) which is below a cut-off frequency (F) (Fig. 6; see low pass filter 58-59; it is

inherent that values that pass through low pass filter 58-59 are below a cut-off

frequency and evaluated).

Regarding claim 12, Shiomi discloses the method according to claim 10,

characterized in that the cut-off frequency depends on the predetermined value (K1) or

on the further predetermined value (K2) (Fig. 6; see low pass filter 58-59; it is inherent

that values that pass through low pass filter 58-59 are below a cut-off frequency and

evaluated).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number:

10/659,686

3.

Art Unit: 2622

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

Page 7

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiomi in

view of Hooks (US-4,463,380).

Regarding claim 11, Shiomi fails to explicitly disclose the method according to

claim 1, characterized in that the only pixel values which are evaluated are those which

change at a rate which is below the Nyquist frequency (N/4).

In the same field of endeavor, Hooks teaches a low pass filter is provided (156)

for each of the three channels, which bandwidth limits the signal to below the Nyquist

frequency which corresponding to the maximum pixel rate (Col. 15, Ln. 55-68). In light

of the teaching from Hooks, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Shiomi by incorporating a

low pass filter with bandwidth limits to signal below Nyquist frequency in order to obtain

maximum pixel rate (Hooks: Col. 15, Ln. 55-68).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

10/659,686 Art Unit: 2622

- a) Furuhata (US-6,924,840) discloses a group of two row photo conversion elements having a plurality of output.
- b) Maki (US-5,801,850) discloses a linear sensor having plurality of sensor rows.
- c) Shekter (US-2005/0,276,515) discloses system manipulation noise in digital images wherein a low pass filter passes only energy below 0.25 cycles per pixel without violating Nyquist sampling limit.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung H. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-7367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 8AM 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LIN YE can be reached on 571-272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/659,686 Art Unit: 2622 Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HL 02/03/08 Joshlu N